

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CARLINA

Michael Henson,)	
)	C/A No. 4:06-3156-MBS
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	O R D E R
Mr. Doriaty, Director of GCDC;)	
Scotty Bodiford, Asst. Director; and)	
Mrs. Kearnes, Medical Director,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

At the time of the underlying complaint, Plaintiff Michael Henson was in custody of the Greenville County Detention Center in Greenville, South Carolina. On November 7, 2006, Plaintiff, appearing pro se, brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendants violated his constitutional rights by failing to provide him adequate medical care.

On April 10, 2007, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment (Entry 15). On April 11, 2007, an order was issued pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising Plaintiff of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Plaintiff failed to respond to the motion for summary judgment.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E Rogers, III for pretrial handling. On May 24, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the within action be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute and/or comply with orders of the court. Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility for making a final determination remains with this court.

Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portions of the Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or may recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. The court adopts the Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute and/or comply with orders of the court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour
United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

June 22, 2007.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.